

Appl. No. 10/812,889
Amdt. Dated: 11/03/06
Reply to Office action of 10/03/06

Remarks/Arguments:

Sole independent claim 1 includes the limitation of previous dependent claim 11, held allowable by the Examiner if so presented. Claim 11 is cancelled, and dependent claim 12, previously dependent from claim 11, is amended to depend from claim 1. Dependent claims 18 and 20 have been cancelled in response to the Examiner's rejection under **35 USC 112**. The Examiner's objections and rejection as cited in the Office Action are thus overcome and withdrawal thereof urged.

By this Response, Applicants again do not concede that the cited document (**Bindra – 5,229,550**) or any other documents of record herein teach or suggest the invention as defined in original claim 1 and hereby reserve their right to further prosecution of said original claim and other appropriate dependent claims in due course within a continuing application. Applicants are accepting the allowable subject matter for the purpose of obtaining an expedited allowance and subsequent issuance of a patent to the claims so allowed to thereby enhance potential licensing of said patent.

The Application is deemed in condition for allowance and such action on the part of the Examiner is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner believe, however, that differences remain which, if overcome, would result in allowance of the Application and that said differences can be discussed in a phone conversation, the Examiner is respectfully requested to phone the undersigned, at the number below, for the purpose of discussing said differences and, hopefully, securing an allowance for this Application.

Respectfully submitted,



E-mail: Lawrence.Fraley@EITNY.com
Telephone: 561-575-3608
Fax: 561-745-2741

By: Lawrence R. Fraley
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 26,885